UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,353	01/28/2004	Jim Paikattu	200314435-1 3291		
. 22879 HEWLETT PA	22879 7590 08/08/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			HOLTON, STEVEN E		
	CTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION DLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		2629		
				×	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/766,353	PAIKATTU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven E. Holton	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the street of the street o	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ap	<u>oril 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 35-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-38</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 35</u> is/are rejected.	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 35</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 24-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 4/10/07.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no mention of Figs. 7 and 8 in the Brief Description of Drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-23 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandt (USPN: 3539995) in view of Yu et al. (USPgPub: 2004/0016923), hereinafter Yu.

Regarding claim 1, Brandt discloses an array of optical sensors (Fig. 1, element 11) with at least a first optical sensor defining at least one row element and at least a second optical sensor defining at least column element (Fig. 1, the top left sensor

Art Unit: 2629

defines a row element of row 1, the bottom right sensor defines a column element of column 10); an array of conductive traces defining row and column signal pathways (Fig. 1, elements Y1-Y11 and X1-X10), and excitation of the sensors generates signals on the array of conductive traces (col. 3, lines 15-63).

However, Brandt does not expressly disclose a transparent substrate on which all of the sensors and traces are arranged.

Yu discloses an array of optical microswitches (Figs. 2C and Fig. 4) that are formed on a substrate (Fig. 2, element 26). Yu further discloses that the substrate can be of a suitable transparent or semitransparent material (paragraph 39).

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form an array of optical sensors as defined by either Brandt or Yu with traces and position calculating circuitry described by Brandt onto a transparent substrate as described by Yu. The rationale for would be for applying the known sensor array of Brandt onto a selected substrate of the various types suggested by Yu. It would have been obvious that the sensor array of Brandt could be adapted to work with a more modern substrate of the types chosen by Yu for a similar type of optical sensor array. Thus, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Brandt and Yu to provide an optical sensor array on a transparent substrate capable of generating signals on the conductive traces upon excitation by electromagnetic radiation.

Regarding claim 2, Brandt discloses the first optical sensor outputting a row signal (Fig. 1, signal line Y11 would carry an electronic row signal related to the row of the first sensor; col. 2, lines 31-44; col. 3, lines 15-51).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,353

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Brandt discloses the second optical sensor outputting a column signal (Fig. 1, signal line X10 would carry an electronic column signal related to the column of the second sensor; col. 3, lines 15-51).

Regarding claim 5, Yu discloses the substrate being made of glass (paragraph 39).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Yu discloses the optical microswitches being responsive to visible, ultraviolet and infrared light (paragraph 41).

Regarding claim 8, Brandt discloses the first and second optical sensors output signals defining a first and second state (col. 3, lines 15-51). The Examiner notes that the sensors of Brandt output a selected and unselected signal along the conductive traces. The selected and unselected states are two different signal states for the row and column signals.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the signal levels of the first and second state must differ in order for the signal processing unit of Brandt to determine the state of the signal. Therefore, the first and second states have first and second signal levels would be inherent to the signals.

Regarding claims 11 and 17, the Examiner notes that these claims differ from claim 1 only with the inclusion of a display device and a computer processing device.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that light spot indicators using arrays of optical sensors associated with a display device and computer system are well known in the art. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art that an optical sensor array made from

Application/Control Number: 10/766,353

Art Unit: 2629

the combination of Brandt and Yu could be used for indicating locations on a computer display for interface with the computer device.

Regarding claims 12 and 18, Brandt discloses the first sensor having output signals defining a first and second state and providing a row signal (col. 3, lines 15-51). The Examiner notes that the sensors of Brandt output a selected and unselected signal along the conductive traces. The selected and unselected states are two different signal states for the row signals.

Regarding claims 13 and 19, Brandt discloses the second optical sensors output signals defining a first and second state and for outputting a column signal (col. 3, lines 15-51). The Examiner notes that the sensors of Brandt output a selected and unselected signal along the conductive traces. The selected and unselected states are two different signal states for the column signals.

Regarding claims 14 and 20, Brandt discloses the signal state switches upon excitation by electromagnetic excitation (col. 3, lines 15-51).

Regarding claims 15 and 21, Brandt discloses the signal state switches upon excitation by electromagnetic excitation (col. 3, lines 15-51).

Regarding claim 16, Brandt discloses row and column output (Fig. 1, elements Y1-Y11 and X1-X10).

Regarding claims 22, 23 and 35, the Examiner notes that this claim is similar to claim 1 except that the optical sensor defines at least one row and column element.

The Examiner notes that the sensors of Brandt output both row and column signals

Art Unit: 2629

along the row and column pathways based on excitation by a light source. Therefore, the combination of Brandt and Yu can read on claims 22, 23, and 35.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 36-38 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The present invention is directed to a optical sensor array input device. Independent claim 36 identifies the uniquely distinct features "each pixel circuit comprising at least one optical sensor having a row and column output and wherein the row and column output share a common output node of the optical sensor". The closest prior art, Brandt, Yu, and Boer et al. (USPN: 6995743) disclose pixels with optical sensors that provide row and column outputs, but do not disclose the row and column outputs being from a common nodes of the optical sensor, either singularly or in combination, fail to anticipate or render the above underlined limitations obvious.

Independent claim 38 identifies the distinct features "each pixel circuit comprising a first optical sensor and a second optical sensor; the first optical sensor comprising a row output node and the second optical sensor comprising a column output node". The closest prior art, Brandt, Yu, and Boer et al. (USPN: 6995743) disclose pixels with optical sensors that provide row and column outputs, but do not disclose multiple optical

Application/Control Number: 10/766,353

Art Unit: 2629

sensors in a single pixel for separate row and column output sensors, either singularly or in combination, fail to anticipate or render the above underlined limitations obvious.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven E. Holton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7903. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven E. Holton Division 2629 August 2, 2007

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AMA ALAWAD

A

Page 7